

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

QUORUM HEALTH RESOURCES,)	
LLC,)	
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants)	No. 3-11-0449
and)	
)	Consolidated with Case No. 3-12-0248 ¹
UNITED TORT CLAIMANTS,)	
Plaintiff Intervenor/)	
Counter-Defendant)	
v.)	
)	
LEXINGTON INSURANCE)	
COMPANY; and IRONSHORE)	
SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY)	
Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs.)	

ORDER

Counsel for the plaintiff intervenor United Tort Claimants (“UTC”) has notified the Court that a settlement has been reached between the UTC and defendant Ironshore Specialty Insurance Company (“Ironshore”).

As a result, the following motions are rendered MOOT and the Clerk is directed to terminate them as pending motions:


1. The motion filed by the UTC for judgment on the pleadings against Ironshore (Docket Entry No. 154);
2. The motion to strike answer or for a more definite statement filed by the UTC against Ironshore (Docket Entry No. 161); and
3. The motion of the UTC for permission to file reply (Docket Entry No. 176).

¹ There are no longer any claims asserted by CHS/Community Health Systems, Inc. and Triad Healthcare Corporation as originally asserted in the lead case (3-11-0449). See Fourth Amended Consolidated Complaint filed May 15, 2014 (Docket Entry No. 194). Although technically case no. 3-11-0449 should be closed, closing that case and only using 3-12-0248 in the future would present an administrative nightmare. Therefore, the lead case will remain 3-11-0449 and all filings and entries shall continue to be made in 3-11-0449.

Although counsel for the UTC advised that the motion filed by the UTC for a hearing (Docket Entry No. 220) is also rendered moot, in that motion the UTC requested a hearing on the pending motions for judgment on the pleadings against both Ironshore and defendant Lexington Insurance Company (“Lexington”), and the Court assumes that the UTC still requests a hearing on the motion for judgment on the pleadings against Lexington.

Therefore, the motion for a hearing will remain pending as it relates to the motion for judgment on the pleadings against defendant Lexington.

It is so ORDERED.


JULIET GRIFFIN
United States Magistrate Judge